



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/632,923

08/04/2003

Takashi Nozu

040447-0251

4950

22428 7590 10/10/2007  
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP  
SUITE 500  
3000 K STREET NW  
WASHINGTON, DC 20007

EXAMINER

CHEN, WENPENG

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2624

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/10/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

10/632,923

Applicant(s)

NOZU, TAKASHI

Examiner

Wenpeng Chen

Art Unit

2624

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Wenpeng Chen.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Ramya Ananthanarayanan #59,597.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 02 October 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Matsubara (US patent application 20020057843) and "JPEG2000 Image coding system: Compound Image File Format" cited in IDS.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See the attachment, please.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 10/2/07  
Examiner's signature, if required

Art Unit: 2624

Applicant's representative, Ramya Ananthanarayanan, explained the cope of Claim 1 and expressed questions how can the cited references teach every limitation of Claim 1. Examiner Chen explained the citations and ground of his rejection presented in the last Office Action. NO agreement in terms of claims has been reached. However, the Examiner promised that he would take a close consideration of the expressed questions in examining the amendments filed with RCE on 9/21/2007.